

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
APR 28 2009

Listing and Amendment of the Claims

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

1. (currently amended) An appliance for reading from and/or writing to optical recording media, wherein signals which are required for carrying out differential focusing methods also are used for generation of a land-groove-detection signal said appliance comprising:

means for deriving a first error signal only from signals of photodetector segments associated with a main beam;

means for deriving a second error signal different from the first error signal only from signals of photodetector segments associated with a secondary beam;

means for deriving a differential focus error signal from the first error signal and the second error signal; and

means for forming a land-groove-detection signal by combining the first error signal multiplied by a first branch weight with the second error signal multiplied by a second branch weight.

2. (currently amended) A method for generating a track type signal in using a scanning unit for an optical recording media medium having data stored in tracks, with wherein the scanning unit having includes an objective lens and a focus control loop, producing an optical and is operative to produce a main beam and at least one secondary beam, evaluating and to evaluate light reflected from the optical recording medium with a plurality of photodetector segments which are associated with the beams main beam and the at least one secondary beam, the method comprising steps of:

deriving a first error signal only from the signals of the photodetector segments associated with the main beam and;

deriving a second error signal different from the first error signal only from the signals of the photodetector segments associated with the at least one secondary beams, comprising: beam;

[[[-]]] scanning of the optical recording medium with a scanning beam;

deflection of deflecting the objective lens in a focus direction;
— measurement of two measuring first and second measurement signals which are formed differently and contain details about the contain, in different proportion, a first component that depends on a distance of the objective lens relative to the optical recording medium and about the a second component that depends on a position of the scanning beam relative to the tracks on the optical recording medium;
— evaluation of deriving an evaluation signal from the first and second measurement signals;
— setting of branch weights controlled by the result of the evaluation;
— deriving first and second branch weights from the evaluation signal; and
— formation of forming the track type signal by combination of combining the first error signal multiplied by a first of the first branch weights and of weight with the second error signal multiplied by [[a]] the second of the branch weights weight.

3. (currently amended) The method as claimed in claim 2, which is used with wherein:

the focus control loop is switched on;
— with the objective lens being deflected by the deflecting step comprises feeding a disturbance signal into the focus control loop $[[,]]$;
— the measuring step comprises extracting a track error component contained in the first and second error signals and caused by the disturbance signal being extracted, and the correct setting of the branch weights being determined ; and
— the step of deriving the first and second branch weights comprises determining the first and second branch weights from the a phase angle and the an amplitude of the track error component.

4. (currently amended) The method as claimed in claim 3, with the first measurement signal being formed wherein:

the measuring step comprises forming the first measurement signal from the disturbance signal and forming the second measurement signal being formed from the a difference between the first error signal and the second error signal in order to extract the track error component, ; and

the evaluation signal represents a product of the first and second measurement signals being evaluated as the evaluation signal .

5. (currently amended) The method as claimed in claim 4, ~~with the evaluation signal being evaluated by averaging or integration wherein the step of deriving the first and second branch weights comprises one of averaging and integrating the evaluation signal~~.

6. (currently amended) The method as claimed in claim 2, ~~in which the objective lens is deflected by~~ wherein the deflecting step comprises moving it ~~the objective lens towards the~~ optical recording medium with the focus control loop open.

7. (currently amended) The method as claimed in claim 6, ~~in which~~ wherein:
the first measurement signal is formed from the first error signal[[.]] and the second measurement signal is formed from the second error signal[[.]] ;
the amplitudes of the first and second measurement signals are evaluated, used to derive the evaluation signal; and

the first and second branch weights are calculated from the measured amplitudes of the first and second measurement signals such that the a difference between the first and second error signals multiplied by the first and second branch weights disappears.

8. (currently amended) The method as claimed in claim 6, ~~in which~~ wherein:
the first measurement signal is formed from the first error signal multiplied by the first branch weight[[.]] ;

the second measurement signal is formed from the second error signal multiplied by the second branch weight;

the amplitudes of the first and second measurement signals are evaluated and, used to derive the evaluation signal; and

~~if there are any differences between the amplitudes, the branch weights are changed~~ the step of deriving the first and second branch weights comprises changing the first and second branch weights in at least one adjustment step ~~if there is any~~

difference between the amplitudes of the first and second measurement signals such that the difference between the amplitudes is reduced.

9. (currently amended) The method as claimed in claim [[3]] 8, with the wherein a magnitude of the change to the first and second branch weights in an adjustment step being is determined as a function of the a value of the evaluation signal in a previous adjustment step.

10. (currently amended) The method as claimed in claim 2, with wherein those signals which are involved being in the method that are based on a plurality of individual signals are normalized with respect to the relative to a sum of the individual signals on which they are each based.

11. (currently amended) An apparatus ~~for carrying out one of the methods as claimed in claim 2~~ comprising:

a scanning unit for an optical recording medium having data stored in tracks, the scanning unit being operative to produce a main beam and at least one secondary beam;

a plurality of photodetector elements operative to evaluate light reflected from the optical recording medium; and wherein:

a first error signal is derived only from signals of the photodetector elements associated with the main beam;

a second error signal different from the first error signal is derived only from signals of the photodetector elements associated with the at least one secondary beam;

a differential focus error signal is derived from the first error signal and the second error signal; and

a land groove detection signal is derived by combining the first error signal multiplied by a first branch weight with the second error signal multiplied by a second branch weight.